







## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,160	06/27/2001	Gerald Friese	00 P 7563 US 01 (8055-83	4594	
7:	590 12/04/2002			•	
Frank Chau F. Chau & Associates, LLP 1900 Hempstead Turnpike Suite 501			EXAMINER		
			POWELL, WILLIAM A		
East Meadow, NY 11554			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1765		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	Ь	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		Jo y
Office Action Summary	09/893,160	F+ies		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
omoo Aodon odinida y	Examiner Howe		Group Art Unit	
	Wm. HITou		1765	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet b	eneath the cor	respondence a	ddress
Period for Response		•		Ÿ.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH	(S) FROM THE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR if from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, if NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by def</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will,</li> </ul>	a response within the statutor	ry minimum of thin from the mailing d	ly (30) days will be ate of this commun	considered time
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on June 2	7, Dept. 24,	2001		
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193			e merits is clos	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
□ Claim(s)		is/are per	adina in tha anni	ication.
·	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Of the above claim(s)		is/are witl	nding in the appi hdrawn from cor	nsideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allo	wed	nsideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allo	wed	nsideration.
		is/are allo	owed. ected.	nsideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	,
□ Claim(s)		is/are allo is/are reje is/are obj	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requireme	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	
□ Claim(s)       I - Z 3         □ Claim(s)       □ Claim(s)         □ Claim(s)       □         □ pplication Papers       □	Review, PTO-948.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requireme	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requireme	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requireme	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	,
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requireme	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	,
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requireme	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	,
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.  is approved and to by the Examiner.  der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requirement disapproved.	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction c	
□ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.  is approved and to by the Examiner.  der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(der priority documents have)	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requirement disapproved.	ected. ected to. et to restriction c	
□ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.  is Papproved Ded to by the Examiner.  der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(der priority documents have)  national Bureau (PCT Ru	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requireme  disapproved.  disapproved.  1 disapproved.	ected. ected to. et to restriction c	
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.  is Papproved Ded to by the Examiner.  der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(der priority documents have)  national Bureau (PCT Ru	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requireme  disapproved.  disapproved.  1 disapproved.	ected. ected to. et to restriction c	
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.  is approved approved der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(der priority documents have)  national Bureau (PCT Ru	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjected requirement disapproved.  is/are objected are subjected are subj	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restriction cent.	
Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.  is approved approved der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(der priority documents have)  national Bureau (PCT Ru	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subje requirement disapproved.  disapproved.  1 disapproved.	owed. ected. ected to. ct to restriction cent.	or election
☐ Claim(s)	Review, PTO-948.  is approved	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjected in the	owed. ected. ected to. ect to restriction cent.	or election

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1765

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

- 2. It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration in a continuation-in-part application filed under the conditions specified in 35 U.S.C. 120 which discloses and claims subject matter in addition to that disclosed in the prior copending application, acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.
- 3. No reference is made to application 09/543,691 filed 04/05/2000 of which this application is a C.I.P. thereof.
- 4. Claims 1-18 is rejected as being based upon a defective Oath or Declaration for the reasons set forth above.
- 5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear from the claims (independent) what is considered to be "the first metal layer" as recited in claims 2 and 11. There is no clean antecedent basis in the claims therefore.

This should be connected. This is also applicable to "the metal line" recited in claim 4.

- 6. Galloway has been cited to show the state of the art.
- 7. No claim is allowed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/893,160

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Powell whose telephone number is (703) 308-1975.

W. Powell/mn November 26, 2002 WILLIAM A. POWELL PRIMARY EXAMINER